



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/917,821	07/31/2001		Lorin Bruce Rowe	12177/50701	1755
23838	7590	02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON &			POLLACK, MELVIN H		
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2145	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/917,821	ROWE, LORIN BRUCE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melvin H Pollack	2145				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	ıly 2001.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, -					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 13) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 14) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 15) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 16) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 17) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 18) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 19) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the content of the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	Ω □	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/2/01. 	4)	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: "of the" is repeated.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 32, 48, 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brendzel (5,912,952).
- 4. For claims 32, 48, and 55, Brendzel teaches a method (abstract) for providing an interactive, intelligent end user's service (col. 1, line 1 col. 3, line 5), the method comprising:
 - a. Responsive to a user call (Fig. 3, #302), retrieving a personal user list (col. 5, lines 5-20);
 - b. Transmitting information from the personal user list via the data control portion of a communications channel to a user device (Fig. 2);
 - c. Receiving a user response via the data control portion of the communications channel (Fig. 3, #306);
 - d. Retrieving additional information from the personal user list, the additional information corresponding to the received user response (Fig. 3, #309); and

Art Unit: 2145

e. Forwarding the additional information to a client device for processing (Fig. 3, #310).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-10, 15, 16, 19-21, 24, 25, 28, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brendzel (5,912,952) in view of Srinivassan (5,724,412).
- 7. For claim 1, Brendzel teaches a method (abstract) for providing (col. 1, line 1 col. 3, line 5) interactive (col. 5, lines 5-17) text messages (Fig. 2) to a user's device (Fig. 1, #30) during a voice call (col. 3, lines 15-20), the method comprising:
 - a. Receiving information from a client (Fig. 1, #17; Fig. 3, #303);
 - b. Having at least a portion of the received information be in a text format (col. 3, lines 15-20);
 - c. Transmitting to the user's device non-converted information on a voice portion of a communications channel (col. 3, lines 40-52); and
 - d. Transmitting to the user's device the converted information on a data control portion of the communications channel (col. 3, lines 40-52).
- 8. Brendzel does not expressly disclose that the information is converted into text. Brendzel does disclose that, based on the type of screen, the same data may be shown in multiple ways (col. 6, lines 20-40). Srinivassan teaches a method (abstract) of providing textual data during

Application/Control Number: 09/917,821

Art Unit: 2145

voice calls (col. 1, line 1 – col. 3, line 17) in which a voice-to-text converter (Fig. 1, #34) provides such conversion (col. 5, lines 20-50). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a Srinivassan voice converter in the Brendzel system to assist in making sure the information is in a proper format using less database space (col. 2, lines 30-35).

- 9. For claim 2, Brendzel teaches that responsive to the transmitted converted information, receiving a user response on the data control portion of the communications channel (Fig. 3, #306 and 307).
- 10. For claim 3, Brendzel teaches forwarding the received user response to the client (Fig. 3, #309).
- 11. For claim 4, Brendzel teaches obtaining the user response from the data control portion of the communication channel (col. 5, line 10; col. 6, lines 40-48), but does not expressly disclose converting the user response into an audio message. Srinivassan teaches a text-to-voice converter (Fig. 1, #38) for conversion to the proper format (col. 4, lines 20-27). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a Srinivassan voice converter in the Brendzel system to assist in making sure the information is in a proper format using less database space (col. 2, lines 30-35).
- 12. For claim 5, Brendzel teaches forwarding the audio message to the client (col. 7, lines 13-28).
- 13. For claim 6, Brendzel teaches receiving the non-converted information via voice portion of the communications channel, and receiving the converted information via the data control

Art Unit: 2145

portion of the communications channel at the user's device (col. 2, lines 50-51; col. 4, lines 9-11).

- 14. For claim 7, Brendzel teaches presenting the non-converted information as audio information on the user's device (Fig. 3, #313).
- 15. For claim 8, Brendzel does not expressly disclose displaying the converted data as text information on the user's device after a text alert is presented. Srinivassan teaches this limitation (col. 6, lines 50-60). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a Srinivassan voice converter in the Brendzel system to assist in making sure the information is in a proper format using less database space (col. 2, lines 30-35).
- 16. For claim 9, Brendzel teaches determining whether the received information contains information suitable for conversion into the text format (col. 4, lines 33-40).
- 17. For claim 10, Brendzel teaches separating the information that is suitable for text conversion from information that is not suitable for text conversion (Fig. 1, #16).
- 18. Claim 15, 20, 24, 28 are drawn to the limitations in claims 1 and 10. Therefore, since claims 1 and 10 are rejected, claim 15 is also rejected for the same rationale.
- 19. Claim 16, 21, 25, 29 are drawn to the limitations in claim 2. Therefore, since claim 2 is rejected, claim 16 is also rejected for the reasons above.
- 20. For claim 19, Brendzel teaches that forwarding the received user response to the client for processing (col. 7, lines 10-30).

Art Unit: 2145

Claims 11-14, 17, 18, 22, 23, 26, 27, 30, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brendzel and Srinivassan as applied to claims 1, 10, 15, 16, 20, 21, 24, 28 above, and further in view of Dodrill et al. (6,738,803).

- 22. For claim 11, Brendzel does not expressly disclose converting the information that is suitable for text conversion into a text format for display on the user's device, and appending to the non-converted information a text alert, wherein the text alert is transmitted on the voice portion of the communication channel. Srinivassan discloses the text conversion and alert (see claim 8 discussion), but does not expressly disclose the text alert is transmitted on the voice portion of the communication channel. Dodrill teaches a method (abstract) of providing text-voice communications combinations (col. 1, line 1 col. 6, line 15) that provides audio notifications for messages and the like (col. 11, lines 22-31). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have added a Dodrill notification method to Brendzel in order to provide advanced phone services (col. 5, line 65 col. 6, line 5).
- 23... For claim 12, Brendzel does not expressly disclose transmitting to the user's device the converted information on the data control portion of the communications channel after the text alert is transmitted. Srinivassan teaches this limitation (col. 8, line 66 col. 9, line 12). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a Srinivassan voice converter in the Brendzel system to assist in making sure the information is in a proper format using less database space (col. 2, lines 30-35).
- 24. For claim 13, Brendzel teaches receiving a trigger identifying the information suitable for conversion (col. 4, lines 33-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/917,821

Art Unit: 2145

- 25. For claim 14, Brendzel teaches that responsive to the user response, retrieving stored information from memory, and forwarding the retrieved information to the client (col. 4, lines 50-65).
- 26. Claims 17, 22, 26, 30 are drawn to the limitations in claim 11. Therefore, since claim 11 is rejected, claim 17 is also rejected for the same rationale.
- 27. For claim 18, Brendzel teaches that the presented text includes a plurality of choices for selection by a user and the user response indicates at least a selected one of the plurality of choices (col. 5, lines 5-20).
- 28. Claims 23, 27, 31 are drawn to the limitations in claim 19. Therefore, since claim 19 is rejected, claim 23 is also rejected for the same rationale.
- 29. Claims 33-47, 49-54, and 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brendzel as applied to claims 32, 48, and 55 above, and further in view of D'Angelo (6,717,938).
- 30. For claims 33, 42, 49, and 53, Brendzel does not expressly disclose that the personal user list is a personal paging list, the personal paging list includes a plurality of names and corresponding paging numbers, nor does Brendzel expressly disclose that the personal user list is a personal directory list, the personal directory list includes a plurality a plurality of names and at least one of a plurality of corresponding telephone numbers, e-mail addresses and mailing addresses. D'Angelo teaches a method (abstract) of providing interactive services and combined voice/data networks (col. 1, line 1 col. 4, line 6) in which a personal user list provided to the user (Fig. 6) comprises pager numbers, phone numbers, and the like (col. 16, lines 46-59). At

Art Unit: 2145

the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).

- 31. For claims 34 and 43, Brendzel does not expressly disclose that the information transmitted to the user device includes at least one of the plurality of names. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (Fig. 6, #604). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).
- 32. For claims 35 and 44, Brendzel does not expressly disclose that the received user response includes a selection of the at least one of a plurality of names. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (col. 16, lines 50-52). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).
- 33. For claims 36 and 45, Brendzel does not expressly disclose that retrieved additional information includes the paging number from the personal paging list, the paging number corresponding to the selected name, nor does Brendzel disclose that the retrieved additional information includes at least one of a plurality of corresponding telephone numbers, e-mail addresses and mailing addresses from the personal directory list. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (col. 16, lines 55-58). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).

Art Unit: 2145

34. For claims 37 and 46, Brendzel does not expressly disclose that the paging number or telephone number is forwarded to the client device to complete a page and/or call. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (col. 16, lines 15-45). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).

- 35. Claim 56 is drawn to the limitations in claims 33-37. Therefore, since claims 33-37 are rejected, claim 56 is also rejected for the same rationale.
- 36. Claim 60 is drawn to the limitations in claims 42-46. Therefore, since claims 42-46 are rejected, claim 60 is also rejected for the reasons above.
- 37. For claims 38, 50, and 57, Brendzel does not expressly disclose receiving a call back number, and forwarding the call back number to the client device to complete a page based on the paging number and the call back number. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (col. 12, lines 45-
- 55). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).
- 38. For claims 39, 47, 54, and 61, Brendzel does not expressly disclose that the call back number or other contact information is received on the data control portion of the communication channel. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (col. 5, lines 40-60). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).
- 39. For claims 40, 51, and 58, Brendzel does not expressly disclose retrieving a plurality of call back numbers from the personal paging list, and forwarding the plurality of call back

Art Unit: 2145

numbers via the data control portion of the communication channel to the user device for selection. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (col. 6, line 65 – col. 7, line 20). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).

40. For claims 41, 52, and 59, Brendzel does not expressly disclose receiving a selected one of the plurality of call back numbers via the data control portion of the communication channel, and forwarding the selected call back number to complete the page based on the paging number and the call back number. D'Angelo teaches this limitation (col. 7, lines 20-55). At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have combined the inventions in order to allow greater control of Brendzel's communications options (col. 1, lines 25-35).

Conclusion

41. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin H Pollack whose telephone number is (571) 272-3887. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (571) 272-6159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHP 07 February 2005

JAGON CARNONO PROMARY OF A): 2145